# BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

IN	THE	MATTE	R OF	THE:	)	
					)	
LOC	CAL .	ASSIST	ANCE	AND	PLANNING)	
(	COMM	ITTEE	MEET	'ING		)
_						)

DATE AND TIME: THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 1997

9:30 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD HEARING ROOM

8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, RPR, CSR

CERTIFICATE NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 37738

## APPEARANCES

MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, CHAIRMAN MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, MEMBER MS. JANET GOTCH, MEMBER

# STAFF PRESENT

MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, LEGAL COUNSEL

MS. KATHY MARSH, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

#### INDEX

PAGE NO.

CALL	TO (	RDER					5
EX PA	ARTE	COMMUNI	CATION	ſS			5
ITEM	1:	REPORT	FROM D	IVERSI	ION,	PLANNING	6
		AND LOC	AL ASS	ISTANC	E DIV	/ISION	
ITEM	2:	REPORT	ON THE	WASTE	E PRE	VENTION	13
		ACTIVIT	IES OF	THE W	ASTE	PREVENTI	NC
	AND	MARKET	DEVEL	OPMENT	DIV	ISION	

### ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA 20

ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF WESTMORELAND, IMPERIAL COUNTY

ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MONTEREY, MONTEREY COUNTY

ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN AND SITING ELEMENT FOR PLACER COUNTY

ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF ISLETON, SACRAMENTO COUNTY

ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE AMENDMENT TO THE NONDISPOSAL
FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO, SACRAMENTO
COUNTY

ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT
FOR THE CITY OF CHULA VISTA, SAN DIEGO COUNTY
ITEM 5: PULLED
ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN AND SITING
ELEMENT FOR PLACER COUNTY
STAFF PRESENTATION 20
PUBLIC TESTIMONY
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION
ACTION 23
ITEM 11: DISCUSSION OF EXISTING OPPORTUNITIES AVAILABLE
TO LOCAL JURISDICTIONS TO ADDRESS THE IMPACT OF BIOMASS
FACILITY CLOSURE ON THE 50 PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL
STAFF PRESENTATION 23
PUBLIC TESTIMONY 30
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION 27
ACTION
ITEM 12: STATUS REPORT AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
ENFORCEMENT OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD WITH REGARD TO
JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE FAILED TO SUBMIT A SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS
·
WASTE ELEMENT, AND/OR NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT
STAFF PRESENTATION 35
PUBLIC TESTIMONY 51
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION 45
ACTION
OPEN DISCUSSION 56
ADJOURNMENT 57

ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

1	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
2	THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 1997
3	9:30 A.M.
4	
5	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GOOD MORNING. THIS IS
6	THE MEETING OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING
7	COMMITTEE OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD
8	FOR THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 13TH. WE'LL BEGIN BY
9	CALLING THE ROLL, PLEASE.
10	THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.
11	MEMBER FRAZEE: HERE.
12	THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
13	MEMBER GOTCH: HERE.
14	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.
15	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: HERE.
16	DO ANY OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS HAVE
17	ANY EX PARTES THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO VERBALLY
18	REPORT?
19	MEMBER FRAZEE: NONE FOR ME.
20	MEMBER GOTCH: NOT TODAY.
21	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE DON'T HAVE ANY AT
22	THE MOMENT, SO WE WILL MOVE TO AGENDA ITEM 1,
23	WHICH IS AN ORAL REPORT BY JUDY FRIEDMAN ON THE
24 25	ACTIVITIES OF THE DIVERSION, PLANNING, AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION OF THE BOARD.

MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING, COMMITTEE 1 2 MEMBERS. THIS ITEM IS AN UPDATE ON SOME OF THE 3 MAJOR ACTIVITIES OF THE DIVERSION, PLANNING, AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION. 4 5 JUST A LITTLE BIT ON LOCAL PLANS, WE HAVE ELEMENTS OF SIX JURISDICTIONS ON TODAY'S 6 AGENDA. AND THAT'S TWO HHWE'S, TWO NDFE'S, ONE 7 SITING ELEMENT, AND ONE SUMMARY PLAN. 8 9 AN UPDATE ON REGULATIONS, THE OFFICE 10 OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW APPROVED THE REVISED ANNUAL REPORT REGULATIONS. THEY WERE FILED WITH THE 11 SECRETARY OF STATE ON JANUARY 13TH AND BECAME 12 13 EFFECTIVE ON FEBRUARY 12TH. THESE REGULATIONS CLARIFIED AND SIMPLIFIED THE REQUIREMENTS FOR 14 LOCAL JURISDICTIONS TO REPORT ON THEIR PROGRAM 15 16 IMPLEMENTATION EFFORTS AND THEIR PROGRESS TOWARDS 17 THE DISPOSAL REDUCTION GOALS. SO THAT ONE IS 18 COMPLETELY BEHIND US NOW. YEA. 19 LOCAL ASSISTANCE STAFF PREPARED A FLOOD ADVISORY FOR EACH JURISDICTION TO REMIND 20 21 THEM HOW TO COLLECT ACCURATE DISASTER-RELATED WASTE TONNAGE DATA FOR LATER USE IN THEIR ANNUAL 22 23 REPORT TO THE BOARD. IN 1995 MANY JURISDICTIONS 24 FAILED TO TRACK AND THEN CORRECT FOR DISASTER-25 RELATED DISPOSAL. THIS RESULTED IN LOWER 1995

1	DIVERSION RATES FOR SEVERAL JURISDICTIONS AS
SHOWN	
2	IN THEIR ANNUAL REPORTS SUBMITTED IN AUGUST OF
3	LAST YEAR.
4	THIS YEAR WHEN THE DISASTERS HIT,
5	STAFF PREPARED A FLOOD ADVISORY TO REMIND
6	JURISDICTIONS HOW TO COLLECT ACCURATE DISASTER-
7	RELATED WASTE TONNAGE DATA FOR LATER USE IN
THEIR	
8	ANNUAL REPORT TO THE BOARD. THIS EFFORT WAS
9	COORDINATED WITH THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT
10	DIVISION EFFORTS RELATED TO THE RECENT
DISAST	ERS.
11	SO WE HOPE THAT WE WON'T HAVE THE SAME PROBLEM
FOR	
12	THIS YEAR'S DISASTERS.
13	THIS SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COMPONENT
14	OF THE MEASUREMENT ACCURACY ISSUES WORKING GROUP
15	MET ON JANUARY 22D IN SANTA ANA. THE MORNING
16	SESSION FOCUSED ON A REVIEW OF THE TYPES OF
17	BASE-YEAR REVISION METHODS SUBMITTED IN THE
ANNUAL	
18	REPORTS AND THE STAFF'S INITIAL COMMENTS AND
19	CRITERIA REGARDING EACH METHOD'S ACCEPTABILITY
OR	
20	UNACCEPTABILITY. THE AFTERNOON DISCUSSION WAS

21	FOCUSED ON FORMALIZING THE WORKING GROUP'S
22	RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD REGARDING BASE-YEAR
23	INACCURACIES.
24	THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA MEETING
WAS 25 TO	HELD ON FEBRUARY 11TH, AND WE ANTICIPATE COMING

1	THE COMMITTEE WITH RECOMMENDATIONS IN MARCH. SO
2	OBVIOUSLY THIS IS OF GREAT INTEREST, AND WE'VE
3	BEEN WORKING FOR SOME TIME, SO WE HOPE TO HAVE
4	SOME GOOD RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE COMMITTEE NEXT
5	MONTH.
6	STAFF DISCUSSED WITH THE CALIFORNIA
7	SPECIALIZED TRAINING INSTITUTE DEVELOPMENT OF A
8	SCOPE OF WORK FOR THE INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT FOR
9	LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEBRIS MANAGEMENT WORKSHOPS
10	PLANNED FOR SPRING/SUMMER OF THIS YEAR. A DRAFT
11	INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT SHOULD BE COMPLETED IN
12	FEBRUARY. AND TO REMIND YOU, THIS IS A FOLLOW-UP
13	TO THE BOARD'S APPROVAL OF THE DISASTER PLAN.
14	THE FIRST REQUEST FOR A RURAL
15	JURISDICTION FOR A TWO-YEAR TIME EXTENSION FOR THE
16	1995 GOAL WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD IN
17	MARCH. THE CITY OF GONZALES IN MONTEREY COUNTY
18	HAS SUBMITTED THE FIRST PETITION FOR EXTENSION.
19	SO THIS WILL BE SOMETHING NEW FOR THE COMMITTEE
20	AND THE BOARD TO CONSIDER.
21	FIVE TULARE COUNTY CITIES ARE
22	FORMING A JPA AND WILL BE REQUESTING REGIONAL
23	AGENCY STATUS, AND THIS CONTINUES THE TREND
24 25	TOWARDS MORE REGIONAL AGENCIES, WHICH IS A POSITIVE ONE.

UPDATE ON USED OIL AND HOUSEHOLD 1 2 HAZARDOUS WASTE. DURING THE MONTH OF JANUARY, 23 3 USED OIL COLLECTION CENTERS WERE CERTIFIED AND 19 4 CENTERS WERE RECERTIFIED. AND CURRENTLY WE HAVE A 5 TOTAL IN THE USED OIL PROGRAM OF 2,153 CERTIFIED CENTERS, 528 REGISTERED INDUSTRIAL GENERATORS, 60 6 7 REGISTERED CURBSIDE PROGRAMS, AND ONE REGISTERED ELECTRIC UTILITY, AND THAT TOTAL PROGRAM 8 PARTICIPANTS CURRENTLY EQUAL 2,751. SO WE JUST 9 10 KEEP INCREASING NUMBER OF OPPORTUNITIES FOR RECYCLING USED OIL. 11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ON YOUR USED OIL, CAN 12 13 I THROW A LITTLE KUDO SOME DIRECTION? I DON'T KNOW WHO DID THE WORK. BUT I GOT MY DMV 14 15 REGISTRATION. MAYBE -- AM I JUMPING THE GUN ON YOU? WERE YOU ABOUT TO SAY THIS? AND IT SAYS 16 BACK OF THE ENVELOPE, "RECYCLE YOUR USED OIL." 17 AND IT ALSO SAYS IT IN SPANISH. HAS THE 800 18 NUMBER AND ALSO HAS THE NAME OF THE CALIFORNIA 19 20 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD ON IT. THAT'S GOING OUT TO MILLIONS OF CALIFORNIANS. 21 22 IT'S WONDERFUL. AND I UNDERSTAND IT'S A NO-COST ITEM; IS THAT RIGHT? 23 24 MS. FRIEDMAN: WE HAD AN AGREEMENT WITH DMV THAT WE HAD SOME TIME AGO AS PART OF THE 25

- 1 STATEWIDE CAMPAIGN. I BELIEVE THERE WAS SOME COST
- 2 INVOLVED, BUT I DON'T THINK IT WAS EXTENSIVE.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GOT TO BE A GOOD
- 4 BARGAIN, ESPECIALLY ON THE OUTSIDE OF THE
- 5 ENVELOPE. IT'S NOT IN THERE WITH ALL THE OTHER
- 6 LITTLE PIECES OF PAPER THAT YOU GET IN AN
- 7 ENVELOPE.
- 8 MEMBER FRAZEE: WHILE YOU'RE AT THAT
- 9 POINT, DO I UNDERSTAND THAT WE NOW HAVE ON OUR WEB
- 10 PAGE A LISTING OF ALL THE OIL COLLECTION CENTERS?
- MS. FRIEDMAN: THAT'S CORRECT. THERE WAS
- 12 A NOTICE ON OUR E-MAIL THIS MORNING. SO WE'RE
- 13 CONTINUING TO PROVIDE INFORMATION TO EVERYBODY AS
- 14 BEST AS WE CAN.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. GREAT.
- 16 MS. FRIEDMAN: THE CERTIFICATION STAFF
- 17 CONDUCTED 60 SITE VISITS THROUGHOUT THE MONTH OF
- 18 JANUARY. AND REMEMBER, ONE OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF
- 19 THE CERTIFIED CENTERS IS THAT THEY BEHAVE AND ACT
- 20 APPROPRIATELY TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF CERTIFI-
- 21 CATION. SO MOSTLY JUST MAKING SURE THAT THE
- 22 SIGNAGE IS THERE, THAT PEOPLE CAN, AS THEY DRIVE
- 23 BY, CAN SEE THAT THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR USED
- 24 OIL COLLECTION. AND MOST OF THE TIME WE DON'T
- 25 HAVE ANY PROBLEMS.

1	STAFF FINALIZED THE AGREEMENTS AND
2	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES FOR THE HOUSEHOLD
3	HAZARDOUS WASTE GRANTS FOR FISCAL YEAR '96-'97.
4	THIRTY-FOUR GRANTS WERE AWARDED FOR TWO-YEAR
5	PROJECT PERIODS BEGINNING JANUARY 1ST OF THIS
6	YEAR.
7	A GRANTS BULLETIN WAS DEVELOPED AND
8	MAILED TO GRANTEES CONTAINING UPDATES AND GENERAL
9	INFORMATION ON USED OIL AND HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS
10	WASTE ISSUES. THE BULLETIN WHICH WILL BE PRODUCED
11	REGULARLY HAS BEEN RECEIVING RAVE REVIEWS FROM THE
12	GRANTEES.
13	AND I WAS JUST DOWN AT THE ASILOMAR
14	CONFERENCE ON HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE, AND I
15	PERSONALLY RECEIVED MANY PEOPLE COMING UP AND
16	SAYING THAT THIS WAS A REALLY GOOD INFORMATIONAL
17	TOOL. SO WE'RE TRYING TO INCREASE OUR
18	OPPORTUNITIES FOR PROVIDING INFORMATION, SHARING
19	INFORMATION.
20	UPDATE ON STATE PROJECT RECYCLE,
21	DURING THE MONTH OF JANUARY, THREE NEW PROGRAM
22	RECYCLING SITES WERE ADDED TO THE STATE'S PROGRAM,
23	AND THOSE SITES INCLUDE A STATE OFFICE, A STATE
24 25	PARK, AND A NEW PRISON.  STAFF MADE A PRESENTATION TO LOCAL

1	GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON PROJECT
2	RECYCLE AND THE SCHOOL DIVERSION PROGRAM. MEMBERS
3	OF THE COMMITTEE WERE ENCOURAGED TO CONTACT STAFF
4	FOR ANY INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE THAT THEY MIGHT
5	NEED TO IMPLEMENT OR IMPROVE THEIR EXISTING
6	PROGRAMS.
7	WE'RE TRYING TO TAKE INFORMATION
8	THAT WE LEARNED FROM ASSISTING STATE FACILITIES
9	AND SCHOOLS TO HELP LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS WELL IN
10	TERMS OF ANY HOW-TOS AND EXPERIENCE WITH SETTING
11	UP STATE PROGRAMS. SO WE'RE TRYING TO INTEGRATE
12	OUR EFFORTS AS USUAL.
13	STAFF ARE WORKING WITH THE BOARD'S
14	WASTE REDUCTION PROS TO PRODUCE EDUCATIONAL
15	DISPLAYS FOR PROPER PAPER SORTING TO ENHANCE THE
16	COLLECTION PROGRAM. IN ADDITION, STAFF CONDUCTED
17	AND MET WITH OTHER RECYCLING COORDINATORS FROM
18	DIFFERENT STATE OFFICES IN THE SACRAMENTO AREA,
19	AND THE MEETING OFFERED THE COORDINATORS AN
20	OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS ISSUES RELEVANT TO THEIR
21	PROGRAMS AND HEARD PRIVATE INDUSTRY SPEAKERS AS
TO	
22	WHAT THEY WERE DOING TO ADDRESS WASTE DIVERSION
23	AND RECYCLING NEEDS.
24	STAFF MADE A PRESENTATION ALONG

WITH

25 STAFF FROM WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKETS DIVISION

- 1 ON WASTE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING AT WDR IN
- 2 GLENDALE AND ARB IN EL MONTE.
- 3 FINALLY, STAFF FROM DPLA DIVISION
- 4 ARE BUSY WORKING AND PREPARING AGENDA ITEMS FOR
- 5 THE COMMITTEE MEETINGS IN MARCH IN FOLLOW-UP TO
- 6 THE BOARD'S 50-PERCENT INITIATIVE. CURRENTLY THE
- 7 STAFF ARE WORKING ON PREPARING ITEMS FOR 12
- 8 STRATEGIES IN SEVERAL COMMITTEES.
- 9 AND WITH THAT, I CONCLUDE MY
- 10 PRESENTATION.
- 11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
- 12 MS. FRIEDMAN. ANY QUESTIONS?
- 13 MEMBER GOTCH: NO QUESTIONS.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: COMMENTS? THANKS.
- 15 NEXT WE WILL HAVE A REPORT FROM
- 16 CAREN TRGOVCICH, REPRESENTING THE WASTE PREVENTION
- 17 AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.
- MS. TRGOVCICH: GOOD MORNING, MR.
- 19 CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS. TO HIGHLIGHT FOR YOU SOME
- 20 OF OUR WASTE PREVENTION ACTIVITIES FOR THE MONTH.
- 21 I'D LIKE TO BEGIN WITH THE WRAP WINNERS
- 22 IDENTIFICATION NOW ON OUR BOARD INTRANET OR
- 23 BOARDNET AS WELL AS ON THE INTERNET AS WELL.
- 24 VISITORS TO THE WRAP WEB SITE CAN NOW QUERY

THE

WRAP WINNER DATABASE WHERE RECIPIENTS OF

THE 1,093 WRAP AWARDS TO DATE ARE LISTED. 1 2 THE BROWSERS TO THE SYSTEM CAN 3 SEARCH FOR WINNERS BY YEAR, LOCATION, AND/OR BUSINESS TYPE. THE WEB PAGE PROVIDES A LISTING OF 4 5 WINNERS THAT MATCH THE SELECTED CRITERIA, ALONG б WITH THE DESCRIPTION OF THE WASTE REDUCTION ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF EACH WINNER. 7 8 A BIG THANKS GOES TO CHRIS ALLEN OF IMB FOR HELPING US GET THIS OUT. I THINK THIS IS 9 10 ONE MORE BOOST FOR OUR WRAP WINNERS, ONE MORE WAY TO SHOW WHAT, NO. 1, OUR THANKS, BUT TO GET THE 11 WORD OUT AND LET OTHER BUSINESSES SEE WHAT THEY'VE 12 13 DONE, HOW THEY'VE DONE IT, AND PARTAKE IN THIS PROGRAM AS WELL. SO IT'S A REAL PLUS THERE. 14 15 FOR OUR OTHER CALMAX PROGRAM, THE 16 JANUARY/FEBRUARY ISSUE OF "CALMAX" HAS JUST COME 17 OUT, AND IT'S NOW SPORTING A DUSTY ROSE COVER. 18 AND IT FEATURES SATICOY RECYCLING OF VENTURA 19 COUNTY AS OUR CALMAX MATCH OF THE CATALOG FOR THE JANUARY/FEBRUARY ISSUE. 20 21 RITA GONZALES OF SATICOY RECYCLING 22 IS LOCATED IN THE SMALL TOWN OF SATICOY AND 23 ESTIMATES THAT SHE HAS USED CALMAX TO ENHANCE HER 24 BUSINESS OVER THE PAST TWO YEARS BY SECURING 25 HUNDREDS OF TONS OF MATERIAL AND SAVING OVER

- 1 \$33,000 FOR HER BUSINESS.
- 2 I BELIEVE THAT HER BUSINESS, THE
- 3 TYPES OF MATERIALS THAT IT PARTAKES OF THROUGH THE
- 4 CATALOG ARE MORE ORIENTED TOWARDS THE AGRICULTURAL
- 5 ARENA. SO SHE'S REALLY BEEN ABLE TO TAKE
- 6 ADVANTAGE, ESPECIALLY GIVEN THE RURAL LOCATION OF
- 7 SOME OF THE ITEMS AVAILABLE THERE.
- 8 ALSO FEATURED IN THE CATALOG IS A
- 9 MESSAGE FROM THE NEW CONTRACTOR, PHASE III
- 10 ENVIRONMENTAL, AND AN ARTICLE ABOUT ALAMEDA'S OWN
- 11 IN-HOUSE MATERIAL EXCHANGE PROGRAM. STAFF ARE
- 12 MODELING SOME OF ALAMEDA'S SUCCESS AS THEY HELP
- 13 THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES WITH THEIR IN-HOUSE EFFORT
- 14 AS WELL, NOT ONLY FOCUSING ON EFFORTS ON THE
- 15 STATEWIDE INITIATIVE, BUT WE'RE HELPING LOCAL
- 16 JURISDICTIONS AS WELL AS THEY MOVE OUT THEIR OWN
- 17 VERSIONS.
- 18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WHEN YOU SAY IN-HOUSE,
- 19 ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT WITHIN THE GOVERNMENT
- 20 ORGANIZATION, OR YOU JUST TALKING ABOUT IT BEING A
- 21 LOCAL CALMAX?
- MS. TRGOVCICH: I BELIEVE IT'S BEING A
- 23 LOCAL CALMAX. WITHIN THE ORGANIZATION ITSELF, SO
- 24 THIS IS A STEP DOWN.
- 25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: DEPARTMENTS ARE

1 EXCHANGING MATERIAL. 2 MS. TRGOVCICH: WITHIN THE GOVERNMENTAL 3 UNIT. AS FAR AS OUR GRASSCYCLING CAMPAIGN 4 5 IS CONCERNED, K MART HAS JOINED THE SPRING '97 GRASSCYCLING CAMPAIGN. I'M SURE YOU WILL REMEMBER 6 THAT LAST MONTH BILL TOLD YOU ABOUT THE MORE THAN 7 8 1200 WAL-MART, ACE, TRUE VALUE, AND ORCHARD HARDWARE STORES AGREEING TO PLACE THE "MY 9 10 NEIGHBORS ARE GREEN WITH ENVY" POSTER. I THINK WE BROUGHT THAT AND LET YOU SEE THAT POSTER A FEW 11 MONTHS AGO. THEY'RE PLACING IT IN THEIR STORES 12 13 ALONG WITH COPIES OF THE BOARD'S GRASSCYCLING 14 BROCHURES. 15 SINCE THAT TIME, K MART HAS JOINED 16 THE EFFORT WITH AN ADDITIONAL 173 STORES. WE'LL 17 BEGIN DISTRIBUTION OF THE MATERIALS TO THE STORES 18 ON FEBRUARY 18TH. THERE'S A LETTER THAT WILL BE 19 GOING OUT FROM THE CHAIR SHORTLY PROVIDING BOTH THE BOARD AS A CONTACT POINT AS WELL AS K MART AS 20 A CONTACT POINT TO GET THESE MATERIALS OUT, TO 21 GET 22 THE INDIVIDUAL STORES TO TAKE A LOOK AT THEM, AND 23 PROMOTE THE CONCEPT IN THE SPRING CAMPAIGN.

SO WE'RE REALLY LOOKING FORWARD TO

24

25 SEEING SOME RESULTS. THIS IS THE FIRST ROLLOUT OF

THE NEW POSTER IN TERMS OF THE SPRING CAMPAIGN, 1 2 AND WE REALLY HOPE TO SEE WHAT WE CAN GET OUT OF 3 THIS. SO WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO THAT EFFORT. 4 WE RECENTLY ATTENDED A LOCAL TRADE SHOW, THE SACRAMENTO VALLEY LANDSCAPE AND NURSERY 5 EXPO. ONE THING THAT AT LEAST WE GAINED FROM BY 6 7 ALL THE RAIN THAT WE'VE HAD IS INCREASED ATTENDANCE. I BELIEVE THERE WERE OVER 125 SURVEY 8 CARDS THAT WERE FILLED OUT AS A RESULT OF PEOPLE 9 COMING BY THE BOOTHS. WE DID OFFER A BOARD POLO 10 SHIRT AS A GIVEAWAY ITEM. SO THAT MAY HAVE 11 PROVIDED SOME INCENTIVE FOR PEOPLE TO FILL OUT THE 12 13 CARDS, BUT WE HAD SOME HIGH ATTENDANCE THERE. 14 WE USED THE SURVEY CARDS, THE 15 QUESTIONS THAT THEY PUT ON THOSE CARDS TO US AS A WAY OF BEING ABLE TO GAUGE HOW THE INDUSTRY IS 16 DOING IN TERMS OF THEIR GRASSCYCLING AND 17 COMPOSTING EFFORTS AND WHERE THEY NEED TO GO IN 18 THE FUTURE AND HOW OUR PROGRAMS NEED TO GEAR 19 TOWARDS THEM TO GET THERE. 20 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO IS THIS PRIMARILY 21 22 MEMBERS OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC OR IS IT PRACTITIONERS IN THE LANDSCAPE INDUSTRY? 23

MS. TRGOVCICH: PRACTITIONERS,

ESSENTIALLY THE PEOPLE IN THE TRADE, LANDSCAPERS,

24

25

1 COMPOSTERS. 2 AS FAR AS THE STATE OFFICE REDUCTION PILOTS, AS JUDY MENTIONED, THOSE WERE UNDERTAKEN 3 4 DURING THE MONTH OF JANUARY. I'D JUST LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THESE PILOTS, THE PURPOSE OF THEM 5 OR ONE OF THE PURPOSES WAS TO ASSESS THE 6 7 TRANSFERABILITY OF THE PRACTICES BEING EMPLOYED SO THAT WE CAN THEN TAKE THAT ON TO OTHER AGENCIES 8 9 AND OTHER BUSINESSES. 10 AND LAST, UNDER THE WASTE REDUCTION AREA, THAT WE HELD A WASTE REDUCTION WORKSHOP AT 11 THE ONTARIO MILLS MALL FOLLOWING THE STATE OFFICE 12 13 WORKSHOPS THAT WERE HELD IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. AND THOSE -- THE PURPOSE OF THE WORKSHOPS WERE TO 14 15 BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THE ATTENDANTS INFORMATION ON BOTH WASTE PREVENTION AND REUSE. FOR THOSE 16 BUSINESSES THAT WERE UNABLE TO ATTEND AT THE TIME 17 OF THAT PARTICULAR TRAINING, NORCAL IS GOING TO BE 18 FOLLOWING UP WITH GETTING THEM INFORMATION ON THE 19 20 PROGRAM AND SENDING THEM BOTH LETTERS AS WELL AS 21 WORKSHOP MATERIALS. 22 I'D JUST LIKE TO END WITH A FINAL 23 NOTE ON BEING ABLE TO UNINTENTIONALLY LEVERAGE OUR 24 RESOURCES. WE RECENTLY ATTENDED THE INDUSTRIAL

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSOCIATION CONFERENCE. AND I

25

- 1 BELIEVE IT WAS BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE THAT ARRANGED
- 2 THAT. AND THE ACCIDENT -- I DON'T KNOW --
- 3 ACTUALLY I'M NOT QUITE SURE WHY IT HAPPENED, BUT
- 4 OUR BOXES OF MATERIALS ENDED UP IN THE WRONG PLACE
- 5 IN TERMS OF BEING AT THE WRONG CONFERENCE ROOM.
- 6 AS A BENEFIT OF THAT, IF YOU WANT TO CALL IT THAT,
- 7 THE CONFERENCE ORGANIZERS AGREED TO MAIL OUT ALL
- 8 OF OUR INFORMATION TO OVER 600 MANUFACTURING
- 9 BUSINESSES FOR THAT ERROR.
- 10 SO WE SAW, FROM OUR PARTICIPATION IN
- 11 A CONFERENCE, SOMEONE COMING FORWARD, AGREEING TO
- 12 PROVIDE PRINTING, DUPLICATION COSTS, MAILING
- 13 COSTS, AND GETTING THE WORD OUT TO OVER 600
- 14 BUSINESSES IN THE AREA. SO A REAL UNINTENTIONAL
- 15 PLUS. THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: EXCELLENT. THANK YOU
- 17 VERY MUCH. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS
- 18 FROM MS. TRGOVCICH'S REPORT? IF NOT, WE WILL MOVE
- 19 ON TO AGENDA ITEM 3, WHICH IS THE CONSENT AGENDA.
- 20 THERE ARE COPIES IN THE BACK FOR ANYONE WHO MIGHT
- 21 BE INTERESTED IN HAVING AN ITEM PULLED FOR
- 22 SEPARATE DISCUSSION.
- THE ITEMS THAT ARE ON CONSENT ARE
- 24 ITEMS 4, 6, THE SITING ELEMENT FOR ITEM 7, AND
- 25 ITEMS 8 THROUGH 10. ARE THERE ANY REQUESTS FOR

- 1 WITHDRAWAL OF ANY OF THOSE ITEMS? IF NOT, I WILL
- 2 ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA
- 3 AND FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT AGENDA.
- 4 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.
- 5 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.
- 6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 7 SECONDED. WILL YOU CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.
- 8 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.
- 9 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 10 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
- 11 MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.
- 13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AYE. MOTION CARRIES.
- 14 THANK YOU.
- 15 SO THAT TAKES US TO ITEM 7, AND
- 16 WE'RE HEARING THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN FOR
- 17 PLACER COUNTY, I BELIEVE.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. THIS IS
- 19 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
- 20 ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN FOR PLACER
- 21 COUNTY. AND KIT STYCKET FROM THE OFFICE OF LOCAL
- 22 ASSISTANCE WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.
- MS. STYCKET: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO, COMMITTEE
- 24 MEMBERS, MY NAME IS KATHERINE STYCKET, AND I'M
- 25 FROM THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE. TODAY I

1	WOULD LIKE TO GIVE YOU A BRIEF PRESENTATION ON THE
2	PLACER COUNTY SUMMARY PLAN.
3	THE PLACER COUNTY SUMMARY PLAN
4	DESCRIBES THE GOALS AND POLICIES THAT GUIDE THE
5	AUTHORITY, ITS MEMBERS, AND THE LOCAL TASK FORCES
6	DEVELOP TO GUIDE THE PLACER COUNTY IN COORDINATING
7	REGIONWIDE PROGRAMS. THE PLAN ALSO CONTAINS A
8	COUNTYWIDE PROFILE AND PLAN ADMINISTRATION
9	DESCRIPTION, SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT INFRA-
10	STRUCTURE, SUMMARY OF COUNTYWIDE DIVERSION
11	PROGRAMS, WASTE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, AND
12	FINANCING FOR PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION.
13	THE MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ENTITY KNOWN
14	AS THE WESTERN PLACER WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY
15	WAS FORMED THROUGH A JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY, JPA,
16	BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF PLACER AND THE CITIES OF
17	LINCOLN, ROCKLIN, AND ROSEVILLE. THE WPWMA HAS
18	RESPONSIBILITY FOR MANAGING ALL SOLID WASTE
19	MATTERS FOR THE FOUR JURISDICTIONS WITHIN THE JPA.
20	THE CITIES OF AUBURN, LOOMIS, AND COLFAX ARE NOT
21	MEMBERS OF THE JPA, BUT HAVE FRANCHISED RIGHTS TO
22	USE THE WESTERN PLACER WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY
23	FACILITIES. THE WPWMA, PLACER COUNTY, AND THE
24 25	INDIVIDUAL CITIES PROVIDE PUBLIC INFORMATION AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS.

1	THE PLACER COUNTY SUMMARY PLAN WAS
2	APPROVED BY THE COUNTY AND THE CITIES OF COLFAX,
3	LINCOLN, ROCKLIN, AND ROSEVILLE PURSUANT TO PUBLIC
4	RESOURCES CODE SECTION 41760. PLACER COUNTY AND
5	THE CITIES OF ROCKLIN AND ROSEVILLE HAVE RECEIVED
6	BOARD APPROVAL FOR THEIR SRRE'S, HHWE'S, AND
7	NDFE'S. THE CITIES OF AUBURN, COLFAX, LINCOLN,
8	AND LOOMIS CURRENTLY HAVE OUTSTANDING ELEMENTS
9	WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD.
10	THEREFORE, STAFF IS RECOMMENDING
11	CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE PLACER COUNTY SUMMARY
12	PLAN UNTIL THE BOARD TAKES ACTION ON THESE NINE
13	OUTSTANDING ELEMENTS. ONCE THE BOARD TAKES
ACTION	
14	ON THESE ELEMENTS OF THE SUMMARY PLAN, THE PLACER
15	COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN WILL
16	BE COMPLETE AND ELIGIBLE FOR BOARD APPROVAL.
17	BASED ON THIS INFORMATION AND INFORMATION
18	CONTAINED IN THE SUMMARY PLAN, THE BOARD STAFF
19	RECOMMEND CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE PLACER
20	COUNTY SUMMARY PLAN.
21	IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I'D BE
22	HAPPY TO ANSWER THEM.
23	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY QUESTIONS?
24 25	COMMENTS? SEEMS PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD. THE MOTION WOULD BE TO ACCEPT STAFF RECOMMENDATION

AND

- 1 CONDITIONALLY APPROVE THE SUMMARY PLAN FOR PLACER
- 2 COUNTY AND FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT
- 3 CALENDAR.
- 4 MEMBER FRAZEE: MOVE THAT. I THINK
- 5 THAT'S ENCOMPASSED IN RESOLUTION 97-47.
- 6 MEMBER GOTCH: AND I'LL SECOND.
- 7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: MOVED BY MR. FRAZEE
- 8 AND SECONDED BY MS. GOTCH, AND WE'LL SUBSTITUTE
- 9 THE PRIOR ROLL CALL. MOTION PASSES THREE ZERO.
- 10 THANKS.
- 11 THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 11, WHICH IS
- 12 DISCUSSION OF EXISTING OPPORTUNITIES AVAILABLE TO
- 13 LOCAL JURISDICTIONS TO ADDRESS THE IMPACT OF
- 14 BIOMASS FACILITY CLOSURE ON THE 50-PERCENT
- 15 DIVERSION GOAL. MS. FRIEDMAN.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. BEFORE I TURN THE
- 17 PRESENTATION OVER TO STAFF, I'D LIKE TO GIVE SOME
- 18 CONTEXT. IN TERMS OF THE DISCUSSION OF EXISTING
- 19 OPPORTUNITIES, WHAT WE'RE REALLY TALKING ABOUT
- 20 HERE ARE STATUTORY OPPORTUNITIES, WHAT PROVISIONS
- 21 ARE THERE IN THE LAW FOR DEALING WITH SITUATIONS
- 22 AS DESCRIBED IN THE REPORT, AND ALSO THAT WE'VE
- 23 BEEN HEARING FROM LOCAL GOVERNMENT, WHICH IS WHAT
- 24 CAUSED US TO START DOING THIS RESEARCH, THAT

# THERE

25 WAS A CONCERN THAT WITH THE POTENTIAL CLOSURE OF

- 1 BIOMASS FACILITIES, IT MAY IMPACT JURISDICTIONS'
- 2 ABILITY TO REACH 50 PERCENT. SO WHAT WE INTENDED
- 3 TO DO HERE WAS TO DO A SURVEY OF JURISDICTIONS IN
- 4 TERMS OF WHAT THEIR CONCERNS WERE AND THEN LOOK AT
- 5 WHAT OPPORTUNITIES THERE WERE IN EXISTING STATUTE
- 6 FOR ADDRESSING THOSE.
- 7 AND WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO TURN THE
- 8 PRESENTATION OVER TO CATHY DONAHUE WITH THE OFFICE
- 9 OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE.
- 10 MS. DONAHUE: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
- 11 CHESBRO AND BOARD MEMBERS. THE FOCUS OF THIS
- 12 REPORT IS ON THE AVAILABLE STATUTORY OPPORTUNITIES
- JURISDICTIONS CAN USE IN THE EVENT THAT THEY DO
- 14 NOT MEET THE 50-PERCENT GOAL. THIS REPORT DOES
- NOT ENCOMPASS ALL ASPECTS OF BIOMASS FACILITY
- 16 CLOSURE ON WASTE MANAGEMENT.
- 17 BOTH RURAL AND URBAN JURISDICTIONS
- 18 HAVE EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT THE CLOSURE OF BIOMASS
- 19 FACILITIES COULD IMPACT THEM IN THEIR EFFORTS TO
- 20 ACHIEVE THE 50-PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL. THERE ARE
- 21 A VARIETY OF FACTORS CAUSING BIOMASS FACILITIES TO
- 22 CLOSE. ONE IS LOWER PAYMENT FOR ELECTRICAL
- 23 GENERATION AND DEREGULATION OF THE POWER INDUSTRY.
- 24 BOARD STAFF, MARTHA GILDART AND NEAL
- 25 JOHNSON, ARE WORKING AS STAFF TO CAL/EPA TO ASSESS

- 1 THE BENEFITS OF BIOMASS AND DEVELOPING STRATEGIES
- 2 TO ALLOCATE THE COST BENEFIT. I THINK THIS IS IN
- 3 RESPONSE TO AB 1890.
- 4 AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THE TABLE ON THE
- 5 OVERHEAD, BIOMASS HAS THE POTENTIAL TO DIVERT
- 6 SIGNIFICANT QUANTITIES OF MATERIAL. PLEASE
- 7 REMEMBER THAT THESE QUANTITIES ARE ESTIMATED.
- 8 THERE ARE 55 BIOMASS PLANTS IN CALIFORNIA. ELEVEN
- 9 HAVE EITHER SUSPENDED OPERATIONS OR ONLY OPERATE
- 10 INTERMITTENTLY, AND FIVE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF
- 11 BEING DEMOLISHED.
- 12 BOARD STAFF CONDUCTED A SURVEY TO
- 13 DETERMINE THE SPECIFIC CONCERNS THE JURISDICTIONS
- 14 HAVE REGARDING BIOMASS CLOSURE AND THE IMPACT THIS
- 15 COULD HAVE ON THE DIVERSION EFFORTS. OUT OF 55
- 16 COUNTIES 36 RETURNED SURVEYS AND 25 SAID THAT THEY
- 17 CLAIMED BIOMASS. THE SURVEY RESPONDENTS
- 18 IDENTIFIED THESE CONCERNS: THAT FACILITY CLOSURE
- 19 COULD AFFECT THEIR ABILITY TO ACHIEVE THE 50-
- 20 PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL; FACILITY CLOSURE IS NOT
- 21 ONLY A LOSS OF A MARKET FOR BIOMASS MATERIALS, BUT
- 22 ALSO POSSIBLY FUNDING FOR OTHER DIVERSION
- 23 PROGRAMS. SOME JURISDICTIONS RECEIVED PAYMENT FOR
- 24 THE BIOMASS MATERIALS. MARKETS MAY BE FLOODED
- 25 WITH BIOMASS MATERIALS, CAUSING SUPPLY TO EXCEED

DEMAND AND LOWER MARKET PRICES. AND SOME BIOMASS 1 2 MATERIALS ARE NOT SUITABLE FOR OTHER USES AND HAVE 3 NO OTHER EXISTING MARKETS AND MAY END UP IN THE 4 LANDFILL. 5 MARKET DEVELOPMENT WOULD BE THE LONG-TERM ANSWER TO JURISDICTIONS' CONCERNS, BUT 6 7 THIS REPORT ONLY ADDRESSES THE EXISTING OPPORTUNITIES THAT ARE AVAILABLE. THESE 8 9 OPPORTUNITIES INCLUDE: RURAL JURISDICTIONS CAN 10 PETITION FOR REDUCTIONS IN THE DIVERSION AND/OR PLANNING REQUIREMENTS. ALL JURISDICTIONS CAN 11 REQUEST A REDUCTION IN THE DIVERSION GOAL -- IN 12 13 THE 50-PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL, RURAL JURISDICTIONS CAN PETITION FOR A TWO-YEAR EXTENSION TO MEET THE 14 15 GOAL, AND ALL OF THE JURISDICTIONS CAN PETITION FOR A ONE-YEAR EXTENSION. 16 17 IN ADDITION, JURISDICTIONS ARE ASKED TO PROVIDE DISCUSSIONS IN THEIR SRRE ANNUAL REPORT 18 REGARDING THE PROBLEMS IN PREVENTING PROGRAM 19 20 IMPLEMENTATION AND GOAL ACHIEVEMENT. THESE 21 DISCUSSIONS PROVIDE A GOOD OPPORTUNITY TO 22 SUBSTANTIATE THE DIFFICULTIES ENCOUNTERED AND THE STRATEGIES THAT A JURISDICTION MAY BE USING TO 23 24 OVERCOME THEM. THESE DISCUSSIONS ARE VERY

IMPORTANT AS THEY MAY BECOME PART OF THE GOOD

25

- 1 FAITH EFFORT FOR THE JURISDICTION.
- THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION, AND
- 3 I'LL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO AS I UNDERSTAND IT,
- 5 A LOCAL JURISDICTION WHO IS HAVING DIFFICULTY
- 6 ACHIEVING THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS AS A RESULT
- 7 OF LOSS OF BIOMASS MARKETS CAN UTILIZE THE RELIEF
- 8 VEHICLES THAT ARE PROVIDED FOR IN THE LAW. I JUST
- 9 WANTED ONE OF THE REASONS. BUT IT ALSO, I THINK,
- 10 PRESUMES THAT THEY'RE ACTIVELY PURSUING OTHER
- 11 MARKETS; IS THAT CORRECT?
- MS. DONAHUE: YES. THEY HAVE TO
- 13 SUBSTANTIATE OTHER EFFORTS THAT THEY'RE DOING.
- 14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THERE'S A NUMBER.
- 15 YOU'VE RAISED THEM ALL HERE, AND I SUPPOSE THE
- 16 SURVEY DID, BUT THERE'S A NUMBER OF THINGS THAT
- 17 I'VE HEARD AROUND THE STATE, AND I WANTED TO
- 18 HIGHLIGHT. ONE IS THE FACT THAT WHILE MANY OF
- 19 THESE MATERIALS CAN BE DIVERTED TO COMPOSTING OR
- 20 ADC, ANYTHING THAT INVOLVES WOODWASTE FROM C&D,
- 21 FROM CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION, WOULD TEND TO
- 22 HAVE CONTAMINANTS THAT OR COULD HAVE CONTAMINANTS
- 23 THAT WOULD MAKE IT LESS SUITABLE FOR COMPOST
- 24 CERTAINLY. I DON'T KNOW ABOUT ADC, BUT AT LEAST
- 25 FOR COMPOSTING AND THAT'S A SERIOUS CONCERN.

THE OTHER THING IS THAT A NUMBER OF 1 2 VERY FAITHFUL RECYCLERS, SUCH AS JOE GARBORINO OVER IN MARIN, HAVE POINTED OUT THE REVENUE 3 4 QUESTION AND HOW MUCH THEY WERE TAKING THE MONEY THAT THEY WERE MAKING FROM SHIPPING MATERIALS TO 5 BIOMASS AND USING THAT ESSENTIALLY TO SUBSIDIZE 6 7 THE LOSER MATERIALS THAT WEREN'T BRINGING IN THE REVENUE SO THAT THEY COULD DO A BROADER RANGE OF 8 RECYCLING. AND IT'S A PRETTY SERIOUS REVENUE HIT. 9 10 SO THAT'S, I THINK, ANOTHER PRACTICAL PROBLEM THE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS ARE FACING IN TRYING TO COPE 11 WITH THE DECLINE IN THE MARKET. 12 13 ANY OTHER COMMENTS? QUESTIONS? 14 OKAY. 15 MS. FRIEDMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, I JUST WANTED TO SET A LITTLE CONTEXT AS WELL THAT I 16 THINK CATHY TOUCHED UPON, BUT JUST TO REMIND 17 EVERYBODY THAT SINCE THIS IS A SURVEY WHERE 18 WE GOT 19 INFORMATION FROM JURISDICTIONS SAYING, YOU KNOW, 20 THAT THEY -- IT'S REALLY THE POTENTIAL THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE AN IMPACT. IN EACH CASE 21 WE

WOULD BE LOOKING AT THE INDIVIDUAL

22

# JURISDICTION'S

- 23 SITUATION AS THE BOARD CONDUCTS THE BIENNIAL
- 24 REVIEW. AND WE WOULD BE DETERMINING THE

DEGREE OF

25 IMPACT AND SUBSTANTIATION THAT CATHY REFERRED TO.

1	SO I THINK THAT THAT CONTEXT IS
2	IMPORTANT IN LOOKING AT THIS IS THAT WE WERE
3	LOOKING FOR, OKAY, WHAT'S AVAILABLE IF THEY GO
4	THROUGH THE STEPS AND IF IT DETERMINES TO BE THE
5	CASE. AND ALSO IN TERMS OF THE C&D ISSUE THAT YOU
6	JUST RAISED, OF COURSE, IN THE BOARD'S MARKET
7	DEVELOPMENT PLAN, C&D IS AN IMPORTANT ASPECT OF
8	MARKETS DEVELOPMENT AND THE BOARD HAS DIRECTED
9	RESOURCES TO THAT END. SO THIS JUST HIGHLIGHTS
10	THE FACT THAT WE NEED TO CONTINUE THOSE EFFORTS.
11	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, THE MESSAGE TO
12	THE JURISDICTION SHOULDN'T BE NOW YOU HAVE AN
13	EXCUSE. IT'S THAT WE WILL BE, I THINK, RESPONSIVE
14	ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS TO THE FACTS THAT EXIST IN
15	YOUR COMMUNITY, AND THAT'S A VERY REAL ISSUE. ON
16	THE OTHER HAND, DON'T CEASE LOOKING FOR ALTERNA-
17	TIVE USES FOR THOSE MATERIALS BECAUSE OF THESE
18	RELIEF MECHANISMS. THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS WE'LL
19	BE LOOKING TO IS WHAT STEPS ARE BEING TAKEN TO
20	INITIATE ALTERNATIVE MARKETS. SO, MR. FRAZEE.
21	MEMBER FRAZEE: JUST GOING TO SAY THAT
22	THE IMPLEMENTATION OF AB 1850 IS THAT THE
23	CORRECT NUMBER?
24 25	MS. FRIEDMAN: I BELIEVE IT'S 1890. MEMBER FRAZEE: 1890 AND HOW BIOMASS

- 1 PLANTS ARE TREATED ULTIMATELY, AND THAT DECISION
- 2 WILL HAVE AN EFFECT ON HOW MANY SURVIVE.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: BY ALL MEANS.
- 4 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. AND THIS

# INFORMATION

- 5 THAT WE PRESENTED HERE TODAY HAS BEEN SHARED WITH
- 6 THE STAFF AND PARTICIPANTS IN THAT PROJECT,

# THOUGH

- 7 THIS IS NOT PART OF THE 1890 EFFORT, BUT ANY
- 8 INFORMATION THAT WE HAD ALREADY GATHERED WE
- 9 PROVIDED TO THAT WORKING GROUP.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. I HAVE A
- 11 REQUEST TO SPEAK FROM CHUCK WHITE REPRESENTING
- 12 WMX.
- 13 MR. WHITE: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. CHUCK
- 14 WHITE WITH WASTE MANAGEMENT. SORRY I GOT HERE A
- 15 LITTLE LATE. I DIDN'T HEAR THE FIRST PART OF THE
- 16 DISCUSSION. AND I THINK THERE REALLY IS A

#### PROBLEM

17 OUT HERE THAT, AS I THINK THE STAFF REPORT

# CLEARLY

- ARTICULATES, THAT THERE IS A PROBLEM MEETING THE
- 19 939 IN MANY JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE THESE

### PROBLEMS

- 20 AND DOESN'T TALK ABOUT, I THINK, SOLUTIONS ABOUT
- 21 WHAT DO YOU DO IF BIOMASS IS NO LONGER A VIABLE

22	ENTITY.
23	THE ONLY REASON I'M HERE TODAY IS
TO	
	REMIND THE BOARD THAT THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES TO MAINTAIN BIOMASS AS A VIABLE ENTITY, AND I THINK

- 1 THE BOARD CAN PLAY A REAL IMPORTANT ROLE IN THIS.
- 2 AND I'M GLAD MR. FRAZEE MENTIONED THE 1890
- 3 PROCESS. WHILE IT'S NOT DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED WITH
- 4 THIS ITEM, THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE BOARD
- 5 TO TAKE A REAL MEANINGFUL ROLE AS THE 1890 PROCESS
- 6 SPINS OUT.
- 7 THE DRAFT STAFF REPORT WAS
- 8 FINALIZED; THE ENERGY COMMISSION IS IN THE PROCESS
- 9 OF PREPARING A FINAL REPORT. I STILL HAVEN'T SEEN
- 10 A FINAL COPY OF THAT AND WHAT IT'S GOING TO LOOK
- 11 LIKE, BUT IT IS COMING OUT ANY MINUTE NOW. AND
- 12 THEN THERE WILL BE THIS LEGISLATIVE PROCESS.
- THE TWO AREAS THAT I THINK THE BOARD
- 14 CAN REALLY PLAY AN IMPORTANT ROLE WITH THEIR
- 15 SISTER AGENCY AND DISCUSSIONS BEFORE THE
- 16 LEGISLATURE ARE -- IS TO MAKE SURE THAT BIOMASS
- 17 DOES HAVE ADEQUATE RECOGNITION AS A RENEWABLE
- 18 ENERGY SUPPLY AND HAS ACCESS TO THIS RENEWABLE
- 19 TRANSITION FUNDS DURING THIS INTERIM PERIOD OF
- TIME.
- THERE'S ALSO A SECOND ASPECT OF IT,
- THIS WHOLE 1890 PROCESS, THAT IS ALSO IMPORTANT
- 23 AND MERITS CONSIDERATION BY THIS BOARD IN THAT
- 24 THERE IS THIS VERY LARGE POT OF MONEY THAT'S
- 25 CALLED THE TRANSITION COST TO HELP DEFRAY ENERGY

COMMISSION STRANDED ASSETS. THERE IS AN EXEMPTION 1 2 FOR POLLUTION CONTROL TECHNOLOGIES THAT GENERATE 3 ENERGY FROM HAVING TO PAY THIS TRANSITION COST. AND ONE THING FOR THE BOARD TO CONSIDER WOULD BE 4 5 IS BIOMASS ELIGIBLE TO THE EXTENT IT INCINERATES 6 WASTE-DERIVED MATERIALS AS A POLLUTION CONTROL PROJECT AND WOULD IT BE ELIGIBLE FOR BEING 7 EXEMPTED FROM THESE TRANSITION CHARGES THAT 8 OTHERWISE WOULD BE PLACED ON IT. 9 10 AND SO YOU COULD REALLY STIMULATE THE BIOMASS INDUSTRY TO THE EXTENT THAT EITHER 11 ONE 12 OR BOTH OF THESE TWO MECHANISMS, BOTH THE 13 TRANSITION COST AND -- THE TRANSITION COST AND THE 14 RENEWABLE TRANSITION PERIOD MONIES, IF THE BOARD 15 TOOK AN ACTIVE ROLE IN MAKING SURE THAT THOSE ARE 16 AVAILABLE FOR BIOMASS, I REALLY BELIEVE THAT BIOMASS CAN CONTINUE TO PLAY A REAL SIGNIFICANT 17 ROLE IN HELPING MEETING THE AB 939 REQUIREMENTS. 18 19 IT'S NOT REALLY PART OF THIS ITEM, BUT I JUST DIDN'T WANT YOU TO FORGET THAT THERE 20 IS A ROLE THIS BOARD CAN PLAY DURING -- AS THIS 21 PROCESS SPINS OUT. 23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, YOU RAISE SOME

24 REALLY GOOD POINTS. AND ONE OF THE STRANGE

THINGS

25 ABOUT OUR ROLE IN THIS REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

- 1 IS THAT IT'S ACTUALLY CAL/EPA'S REPORT AND OUR
- 2 STAFF IS ESSENTIALLY STAFFING CAL/EPA AS OPPOSED
- 3 TO IT BEING SOMETHING THAT'S GOING TO COME BEFORE
- 4 US FOR A POLICY DISCUSSION. BUT I'D LIKE TO MAKE
- 5 SURE THAT THOSE CONSIDERATIONS ARE BEING LOOKED AT
- 6 BY STAFF IN PREPARING THAT REPORT. SO CAN WE JUST
- 7 FOLLOW THROUGH TO MAKE THAT SURE THAT THOSE
- 8 SUGGESTIONS ARE LOOKED AT?
- 9 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. I WILL FORWARD YOUR
- 10 SUGGESTIONS TO THE APPROPRIATE STAFF, CAREN
- 11 TRGOVCICH AND HER STAFF.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GOOD SUGGESTIONS.
- 13 MEMBER FRAZEE: JUST ONE, WHILE MR. WHITE
- 14 IS STILL THERE, ONE THING TO ADD TO YOUR LIST IS
- 15 THE FACT THAT BIOMASS IS IN COMPETITION WITH ALL
- 16 OTHER FORMS OF RENEWABLE ENERGY. AND SO I THINK
- 17 IT'S IMPORTANT FOR US TO SEE THAT IT GETS TREATED
- 18 FAIRLY.
- 19 MR. WHITE: THAT'S EXACTLY RIGHT.
- 20 THERE'S A LIMITED POT AND EVERYBODY IS VYING FOR
- 21 ALL THE DIFFERENT RENEWABLES. AND TO THE EXTENT
- 22 BIOMASS AND A SISTER AGENCY LIKE YOURSELF, AND
- 23 PARTICULARLY BEFORE THE LEGISLATURE WHEN THE
- 24 PROCESS ENDS UP THERE, MAKE YOUR THOUGHTS KNOWN
- THAT IN ORDER TO MEET THIS OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL

- 1 STATUTE, AB 939, THAT THERE'S MERIT TO CONSIDERING
- 2 BIOMASS BEING ELIGIBLE FOR THESE TRANSITION COSTS
- 3 AND ALSO MAYBE A BREAK ON THE FEES THAT ARE USED
- 4 TO SUPPORT THE STRANDED ASSETS OF THE UTILITIES.
- 5 AND I THINK BOTH OF THESE TWO APPROACHES, IF THE
- 6 BOARD TOOK A REALLY PROACTIVE ACTIVIST ROLE, WOULD
- 7 REALLY BE BENEFICIAL TO HELP MAINTAIN THE BIOMASS
- 8 INDUSTRY.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IS THERE -- THIS IS
- 10 NOT AN ACTION ITEM. IT'S JUST A REPORT. BUT DO
- 11 ANY OF THE BOARD MEMBERS AND STAFF KNOW, IS THERE
- 12 AN ITEM BEFORE ONE OF THE COMMITTEES WHICH IS
- 13 INTENDED TO ESTABLISH SOME BOARD POSITION ON --
- 14 POLICY POSITION ON ANY OF THIS STUFF OR IS IT
- 15 STRICTLY AT THIS POINT BEING HANDLED AT THE STAFF
- 16 LEVEL?
- 17 MS. FRIEDMAN: I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY
- 18 COMMITTEE OR BOARD ITEM AT THIS TIME. I HAVE NOT
- 19 HEARD OF ONE.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT
- 21 THE TIME FRAME IS, BUT IT SEEMS TO ME YOU MIGHT
- 22 WANT TO CONSIDER JUST A VERY SIMPLE POLICY
- 23 STATEMENT ALONG THE LINES OF WHAT YOU SAID, MR.
- 24 FRAZEE, THAT PUTS THE BOARD ON RECORD IN THE
- 25 PROCESS OF SAYING, YOU KNOW, THIS -- THIS IS A

- 1 SIGNIFICANT ISSUE THAT WE WANT TO SEE GIVEN ITS
- 2 DUE CONSIDERATION ALONG WITH THE OTHER RENEWABLE
- 3 ENERGY SOURCES. I DON'T KNOW QUITE HOW WE HANDLE
- 4 THAT. MAYBE WE CAN TALK AMONGST OURSELVES AND
- 5 FIGURE OUT WHO AND WHERE AND WHEN. MAYBE JUST A
- 6 LETTER FROM THE CHAIRMAN OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
- 7 OKAY. THANKS, CHUCK.
- 8 MR. WHITE: THANK YOU.
- 9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. IF THERE'S NO
- 10 OTHER QUESTIONS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MS. DONAHUE.
- 11 AND WE'LL COMPLETE THAT ITEM.
- 12 MS. FRIEDMAN: THIS DOES NOT NEED TO BE
- 13 FORWARDED TO THE BOARD. IT'S SIMPLY AN
- 14 INFORMATIONAL ITEM. OBVIOUSLY IT'S YOUR CHOICE IN
- 15 THAT REGARD.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I DON'T THINK IT
- 17 REQUIRES A BOARD AGENDA ITEM. ANY THOUGHTS ON
- 18 THAT?
- 19 OKAY. WE'LL MOVE ON TO ITEM 12.
- 20 AND BEFORE I INTRODUCE MS. FRIEDMAN TO INTRODUCE
- 21 THIS ITEM, THIS IS THE STATUS REPORT AND STAFF
- 22 RECOMMENDATION ON THE ENFORCEMENT OF OPTIONS
- 23 AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD REGARDING

### JURISDICTIONS

24 THAT HAVE FAILED TO SUBMIT SOURCE REDUCTION

AND

25 RECYCLING ELEMENTS, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE

1	ELEMENTS, AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENTS.
2	AND I WANTED TO, FOR A MOMENT,
3	HOPEFULLY NOT STEAL ANYBODY'S THUNDER BUT ON
4	THE STAFF, BUT MENTION THE FACT THAT AT THE
5	50-PERCENT DISCUSSION, THERE WAS A DESIRE TO HAVE
6	AN ITEM BROUGHT BEFORE THIS COMMITTEE, AND, IN
7	FACT, THE BOARD DIRECTED IT, REGARDING ENFORCEMENT
8	OF THE 25-PERCENT DIVERSION REQUIREMENT. AND I
9	JUST WANTED TO POINT OUT THAT THIS IS A
10	PREREQUISITE TO THAT, THAT WE HAVE TO GET OUR
11	DUCKS IN ORDER, IF YOU WILL. IT WOULD BE VERY
12	DIFFICULT TO GO AFTER A JURISDICTION WHO HAS
13	SUCCESSFULLY GOTTEN APPROVAL OF A SRRE, BUT NOT
14	MET 25 PERCENT IF WE HADN'T ADEQUATELY CARRIED
15	THROUGH, FOLLOWED THROUGH ON OUR ENFORCEMENT FOR
16	JURISDICTIONS WHO HAVE NOT GOTTEN APPROVED SRRE'S.
17	SO I THINK THAT'S NOT AN ARGUMENT
18	FOR PUTTING OFF THE 25-PERCENT DISCUSSION. IT'S
19	AN ARGUMENT FOR GETTING ON WITH THIS AND
20	ACCELERATING THIS SO THAT WE CAN TAKE THE
21	NECESSARY STEPS TO GET THE PLAN ISSUE FULLY
22	UNDER THE PLAN ENFORCEMENT QUESTION FULLY
UNDER	
23	WAY AND THEN FOLLOW UP WITH THE QUESTION OF
24 25	25-PERCENT ENFORCEMENT. SO WITH THAT LITTLE EDITORIAL

- 1 COMMENT UP FRONT, CONTEXT SETTING, I'LL TURN IT
- 2 OVER TO MS. FRIEDMAN.
- 3 MS. FRIEDMAN: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN
- 4 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I JUST WANT TO
- 5 POINT OUT A COUPLE THINGS BEFORE I TURN THE
- 6 PRESENTATION OVER TO LLOYD DILLON. WE HAD A
- 7 LITTLE BIT OF PROBLEM WITH OUR TITLE AND OUR
- 8 NOTICING ON THIS PARTICULAR ITEM. AND SO THIS
- 9 ITEM CANNOT BE AN ACTION ITEM FOR THIS COMMITTEE
- 10 AT THIS POINT. WE CAN DISCUSS THE ITEM, BUT WE
- 11 NEED TO FORWARD IT TO THE FULL BOARD WITHOUT
- 12 ACTION BECAUSE OF OUR ERROR IN THE NOTICING OF IT.
- WE FAILED TO PUT THE WORDS CONSIDERATION AT THE
- 14 BEGINNING OF THIS PARTICULAR ITEM.
- 15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: MAY I SUGGEST THAT THE
- 16 SOLUTION TO THAT, I THINK, IS FOR US TO GIVE A
- 17 SENSE OF THE COMMITTEE DIRECTION TO STAFF ABOUT
- 18 WHAT WE WANT THEM TO BRING BEFORE THE BOARD. AND
- 19 IF THERE'S A CONSENSUS, THEN THEY'LL KNOW THAT
- 20 THEY'RE MOVING IN THE RIGHT GENERAL DIRECTION
- 21 VIS-A-VIS WHAT WINDS UP BEFORE THE BOARD WITHOUT
- Α
- 22 FORMAL MOTION.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: AND THE OTHER THING I

### NEED

24 TO POINT OUT IS THAT THIS IS NOT A DISCUSSION ON

25 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENTS. THAT WAS ALSO

- 1 AN ERROR IN OUR TITLE. IT'S FOR SOURCE
- 2 REDUCTION/RECYCLING ELEMENTS AND NONDISPOSAL
- 3 FACILITY ELEMENT CONSIDERATION OF THE ENFORCEMENT
- 4 OPTIONS.
- 5 AND WITH THAT, I WILL TURN THE
- 6 PRESENTATION OVER TO MR. LLOYD DILLON OF THE
- 7 OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE.
- 8 MR. DILLON: THANK YOU, MS. FRIEDMAN.
- 9 GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE
- 10 MEMBERS.
- AS JUDY SAID, I'M LLOYD DILLON WITH
- 12 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE. AND I'LL BE
- 13 DISCUSSING AGENDA ITEM 12. AND JUDY HAS ALREADY
- 14 NOTED THE DISCREPANCIES IN OUR TITLE.
- SO IN MARCH OF '96, THE COMMITTEE
- AND THE BOARD ACCEPTED STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS,
- 17 IDENTIFYING POTENTIAL ENFORCEMENT OPTIONS FOR
- 18 BOARD ACTION REGARDING THOSE JURISDICTIONS THAT
- 19 HAD NOT YET SUBMITTED EITHER A SOURCE REDUCTION
- 20 AND RECYCLING ELEMENT OR A NONDISPOSAL FACILITY
- 21 ELEMENT.
- 22 STAFF HAS BEEN WORKING ON THE
- 23 STEPWISE APPROACH THAT WAS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD
- 24 AND HAS SENT LETTERS TO THOSE NONCOMPLYING
- 25 JURISDICTIONS AND HAS ENDEAVORED TO CONTACT

1	THEM THOSE THAT HAD NOT RESPONDED.										
2	THIS AGENDA ITEM IS A FOLLOW-UP TO										
3	APPRISE THE COMMITTEE OF STAFF'S ACTIVITIES AND TO										
4	PROVIDE A DISCUSSION FOR THE NEXT STEPS AND										
5	POSSIBLE ENFORCEMENT OPTIONS.										
6	STAFF CONTINUES TO TRACK THOSE										
7	JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE NOT SUBMITTED THEIR										
8	ELEMENTS TO THE BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION. THE LIST										
9	CONSTANTLY CHANGES AS JURISDICTIONS SUBMIT										
10	DOCUMENTS AND ALSO WITHDRAWALS AND DELAYS AS										
11	JURISDICTIONS CONTINUALLY WORK ON THEIR DOCUMENTS.										
12	IN THE AGENDA ITEM ARE TABLES 1 AND										
13	2, AND WE DO HAVE UPDATED TABLES AVAILABLE,										
14	INDICATING THOSE JURISDICTIONS WHICH ARE STILL ON										
15	OUR LIST AS EITHER LATE OR INCOMPLETE.										
16	THE IDENTIFIED ENFORCEMENT OPTIONS										
17	THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD CONSIDERED IN 1996										
18	INCLUDED SENDING A BOARD ENFORCEMENT PROCESS										
19	NOTIFICATION LETTER TO THOSE JURISDICTIONS THAT										
20	WERE ON THE LIST AT THAT TIME. TWO LETTERS WERE										
21	SENT TO EACH JURISDICTION IN THAT LIST. THESE										
22	LETTERS INFORMED THE JURISDICTION OF THE ACTION AT										
23	THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD MEETINGS AND REQUESTED										
24 25	INFORMATION ON THE STATUS OF THE SUBMITTALS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DELAYS IN SUBMITTING THE SRRE										

1	AND/OR NDFE. THIS WAS THE BOARD'S FIRST STEP
2	TOWARDS ANY POTENTIAL ENFORCEMENT ACTION.
3	THE SECOND ITEM WAS DIRECT STAFF TO
4	PREPARE AGENDA ITEMS WITH COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES.
5	TO DO THIS, STAFF HAS TRIED TO WORK WITH OR
6	CONTACT EACH NONCOMPLYING JURISDICTION AND TO
7	DEVELOP A MUTUALLY AGREED UPON COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE
8	FOR SUBMITTAL OF INDIVIDUAL SRRE'S AND NDFE'S.
9	THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD AGREED THAT
10	IF A JURISDICTION DID NOT PARTICIPATE IN
11	DEVELOPING A SCHEDULE, OTHER OPTIONS WILL BE
12	CONSIDERED AND INITIATED. THIS IS WHERE WE ARE
13	TODAY.
14	WE PROPOSE THAT WE PROCEED WITH THE
15	NEXT STEPS IN THE BOARD'S ADOPTED ENFORCEMENT
16	POLICY, AND STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE COMMITTEE
17	REQUEST THAT THE BOARD, OF COURSE, WITH INPUT FROM
18	THE COMMITTEE
19	CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANK YOU.
20	MR. DILLON: AS IT SEES FIT, DIRECT
21	STAFF TO PREPARE AN AGENDA ITEM, RECOMMENDING
22	SPECIFIC TYPES OF ACTION, INSTRUCT STAFF TO
23	PREPARE AN AGENDA ITEM HOPEFULLY FOR THE APRIL
24	COMMITTEE MEETINGS, OUTLINING TYPICAL REASONS FOR

NONCOMPLIANCE AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE

25

1	APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION TO BE
2	TAKEN FOR EACH TYPE OF REASON.
3	AND WE'RE RECOMMENDING APRIL BECAUSE
4	ONE OF OUR RECOMMENDATIONS IS ALSO TO MAKE SURE
5	THAT THE JURISDICTIONS ON THE LISTS THAT WE HAVE
6	GET A COPY OF THIS AGENDA ITEM AND THOSE LISTS.
7	SO THAT WILL GIVE US A LITTLE REPRIEVE AND ALLOW
8	THEM TO COMMUNICATE BACK TO US.
9	THE KEY ISSUES OF THIS OPTION ARE IT
10	SETS GUIDANCE FOR FUTURE BOARD ACTION. ALTHOUGH
11	IT MAY BE SUBJECT TO INTENSE DEBATE BY THE LOCAL
12	JURISDICTIONS, IT MAY BE CONSIDERED TO SOME TO BE
13	ARBITRARY, AND IT MAY NOT NECESSARILY TAKE INTO
14	ACCOUNT ACTUALLY THE GEOGRAPHIC CONSIDERATIONS OR
15	SIZE OF JURISDICTION. WE WOULD SIMPLY BE TALKING
16	ABOUT THE TYPE OF REASON THEY GAVE FOR NON-
17	COMPLIANCE. CONSIDER HOLDING REGIONAL HEARINGS
18	PRIOR TO BOARD AND COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES.
19	IT'S STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO
20	INCLUDE THIS ITEM, AND THE COMMITTEE WOULD
21	CONSIDER HOLDING HEARINGS IN OR NEAR THE AFFECTED
22	JURISDICTIONS OF NONCOMPLIANCE ONCE ON OUR LIST,
23	INVITING THOSE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS TO COME BEFORE
24 25	YOU AND EXPLAIN THEIR REASONS.  THE KEY ISSUES HERE ARE IT

```
DEMONSTRATES THE BOARD IS HOLDING JURISDICTIONS
 1
 2
      ACCOUNTABLE FOR COMPLIANCE. IT'S THE FIRST PHASE
 3
      OF ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION IF THE COMMITTEE AND
      BOARD PROCEED ON THAT ROUTE. IT PROVIDES A LOCAL
 4
 5
      FORUM WHERE EACH JURISDICTION CAN STATE ITS CASE,
 б
      ALTHOUGH IT MAY BE VIEWED BY SOME AS UNEQUAL
      TREATMENT FROM THOSE JURISDICTIONS WHICH ALREADY
 7
 8
      HAVE THEIR SRRE'S AND NDFE'S IN TO THE BOARD FOR
      CONSIDERATION OR APPROVAL. AND IT MAY BE VIEWED
 9
10
      AS OVERLY HARSH OR PUNITIVE BY THOSE JURISDICTIONS
      WHICH HAVE NOT AND ARE ON THE AGENDA.
11
                     STAFF HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THE NEXT
12
13
      TWO STEPS BASED ON BOARD DIRECTION IN THE STEPWISE
      APPROACH THAT WAS ADOPTED LAST YEAR. WE'VE BEEN
14
      WORKING WITH VARIOUS OTHER STAFF AND A SMALL GROUP
15
16
      IN THE BOARD TO RESTRICT THE ISSUANCE OF GRANTS
17
      AND LOANS TO JURISDICTIONS TO THOSE WITH APPROVED
18
      SRRE'S AND NDFE'S. WE WOULD AUTHORIZE THE RELEASE
      OF GRANT MONIES ONLY TO THOSE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS
19
      WHICH HAVE SUBMITTED ELEMENTS, THE COMPLIANCE
20
      SCHEDULE, OR OTHER ACCEPTABLE DOCUMENTATION.
21
22
                     WE WOULD INCREMENTALLY -- WE WOULD
23
      REOUEST THAT WE INCREMENTALLY RELEASE THE FUNDS AS
24
      THE APPLICANTS MAKE PROGRESS TOWARDS COMPLYING
25
      WITH THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT ACT WHICH
```

1	SPECIFY IN GRANT AND LOAN CRITERIA THAT AWARDS BE
2	RESTRICTED TO JURISDICTIONS WITH BOARD APPROVED OR
3	BOARD ACTED UPON ELEMENTS. AND WE WOULD REQUEST
4	THAT WE DO NOT AWARD DISCRETIONARY GRANT OF THE
5	LOAN FUNDS TO NONCOMPLYING OR NONRESPONDING
6	JURISDICTIONS.
7	KEY ISSUES OF THIS: IT PROVIDES AN
8	ECONOMIC INCENTIVE TO COMPLY WITH THE ACT. IT
9	MAKES MORE GRANT AND LOAN FUNDS AVAILABLE TO
10	JURISDICTIONS WHICH HAVE APPROVED ELEMENTS. IT
11	DECREASES FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR NONCOMPLYING
12	JURISDICTIONS, SOME WHICH DO DEPEND UPON THOSE
13	FUNDS. IT IS AN INDIRECT MEANS OF ACHIEVING
14	COMPLIANCE. IT MAY BE VIEWED AS OVERLY HARSH AND
15	PUNITIVE, ESPECIALLY BY THOSE JURISDICTIONS WHICH
16	DO NOT HAVE THEIR ELEMENTS IN. SOME MAY VIEW
17	WITHHOLDING FUNDS AS COUNTERPRODUCTIVE TO
18	ACHIEVING COMPLIANCE OF THE DIVERSION DISPOSAL
19	REDUCTION MANDATES, AND THIS MAY REQUIRE
20	REGULATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION.
21	AS I SAID, STAFF HAS BEEN MEETING
22	INFORMALLY WITH A SMALL GROUP TO EXPLORE THESE
23	IDEAS, AND WE WOULD PROPOSE NOW TO ACTIVELY
24 25	PREPARE SOMETHING FOR THAT.  AND FINALLY, STAFF WOULD CONTINUE TO

1	MONITOR PROGRESS AND GIVE STAFF'S REPORTS FROM THE
2	DIVERSION, PLANNING, AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DEPUTY
3	DIRECTOR AT THE COMMITTEE MEETINGS AND TO SHOW
4	MONITORING OF THE PROGRESS OF THOSE JURISDICTIONS
5	WHICH HAVE NOT SUBMITTED OR HAVE WITHDRAWN AND/OR
6	ARE INCOMPLETE IN THEIR ELEMENTS.
7	THESE UPDATES OF THE NONCOMPLYING
8	JURISDICTIONS HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IN THE DEPUTY
9	DIRECTOR'S REPORT AS THE NUMBER OF THOSE NOT
10	RECEIVED YET.
11	STAFF RECOMMENDS THE COMMITTEE
12	FORWARD THIS STATUS REPORT WITH INPUT, OF COURSE,
13	TO THE FULL BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION OF APPROPRIATE
14	ACTIONS. AT THIS TIME STAFF SEE THE NEXT STEP AS
15	COMING BACK BEFORE YOU WITH THE LIST OF REASONS
16	FOR NOT SUBMITTING AND PROVIDING RECOMMENDATIONS
17	FOR POSSIBLE FURTHER APPROPRIATE ACTION FOR EACH
18	JURISDICTION FOR EACH TYPE OF REASON.
19	STAFF ALSO RECOMMENDS THE COMMITTEE
20	ENCOURAGE STAFF TO ACTIVELY INVESTIGATE THE
21	BOARD'S ABILITY TO RESTRICT GRANT AND LOAN
22	PAYMENTS TO LOCAL JURISDICTIONS WHO HAVE NOT
23	SUBMITTED ELEMENTS, AND THIS WILL BE IN THE
24 25	FUTURE, AND TO DEVELOP CRITERIA AS APPROPRIATE.  STAFF ALSO RECOMMENDS THE COMMITTEE

- 1 CONTINUE TO RECEIVE REGULAR UPDATES AT COMMITTEE
- 2 MEETINGS ON THIS.
- 3 AND LASTLY, WHENEVER A JURISDICTION
- 4 SUBMITS THIS DOCUMENT, STAFF WILL FOLLOW STANDARD
- 5 PROCEDURES FOR REMOVING THEM FROM THE LIST AND
- 6 WORKING WITH THEM TO GAIN APPROVAL OF THEIR
- 7 DOCUMENTS.
- 8 THAT CONCLUDES STAFF'S PRESENTATION.
- 9 AND I DO UNDERSTAND THERE'S SOMEBODY HERE FROM
- 10 TEHAMA COUNTY THAT WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON THIS
- 11 ISSUE.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: TO PUT SOME CONTEXT ON
- 13 THIS, AND THIS IS COMING FROM SOMEONE WHO'S BEEN
- 14 PROBABLY THE CHIEF ADVOCATE OF FLEXIBILITY IN OUR
- 15 PROCESS OF DEALING WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. BUT
- 16 ORIGINALLY THESE DOCUMENTS WERE DUE END OF '91; IS
- 17 THAT RIGHT? THEN THERE WAS LEGISLATION WHICH
- 18 EXTENDED IT TO '94, RIGHT?
- MR. DILLON: YES, SIR.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO THAT WAS TWO YEARS
- 21 AGO. THE ORIGINAL DEADLINE WAS NOW -- LET ME SEE
- 22 IF MY MATH IS CORRECT -- BETWEEN FIVE AND SIX
- 23 YEARS.
- MR. DILLON: MORE THAN TWO YEARS.
- 25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: MORE THAN TWO YEARS

```
AGO. THANK YOU.
 1
 2
                     SO I THINK WE'VE REALLY SHOWN A LOT
 3
      OF FLEXIBILITY, AND I CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT IF
      SOMEBODY IS TAKING PROACTIVE STEPS, ARE IN CONTACT
 4
      WITH STAFF, AND HAVE AGREED TO A COMPLIANCE
 5
 6
      SCHEDULE AND ALL THOSE THINGS, THAT WE SHOULD
      CONTINUE TO SHOW FLEXIBILITY. I THINK THE TIME
 7
      FOR US TO LOOK THE OTHER WAY IS LONG PAST IT'S AND
 8
      REALLY TIME FOR THE BOARD TO GET MOVING,
 9
10
      ESPECIALLY SINCE WE CAN'T REALLY MOVE ON OTHER
      FRONTS LIKE 25 PERCENT UNTIL WE MOVE ON THIS.
11
                     I'M THANKFUL IT'S SUCH A SMALL GROUP
12
13
      OF JURISDICTIONS. I DO THINK THAT WE HAVE TO --
      YOU KNOW, WE'VE DANGLED THE CARROT FOR A LONG
14
      TIME. I THINK THE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS HAVE TO
15
16
      ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS A BOTTOM LINE. AND
17
      I THINK -- YOU KNOW, I HEAR OUT AROUND THE STATE
18
      THAT THERE'S DISCUSSIONS GOING ON AT THE LOCAL
      LEVEL ABOUT WHETHER THE BOARD INTENDS TO ENFORCE
19
      ANYTHING. SO I THINK WE HAVE TO AT SOME POINT
20
      MAKE A DEMONSTRATION OF THE FACT THAT THERE IS
21
      SOME ACCOUNTABILITY IN THIS PROCESS AFTER, YOU
22
```

25 GENERAL, I THINK THAT WE NEED TO GET A MOVE ON.

KNOW, GREAT LENGTHS HAVE BEEN GONE TO TO EXTEND

FLEXIBILITY, WHICH WE'VE TRIED TO MAXIMIZE.

23

24

ΙN

1 THAT'S MY PERSONAL RESPONSE. 2 MEMBER FRAZEE: THE SUGGESTION THAT WE 3 MOVE TOWARD WITHHOLDING GRANTS AND LOANS TO JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE NOT COMPLETED THESE 4 5 ELEMENTS, I THINK, IS AN APPROPRIATE ONE AND I'D б LIKE TO SEE US DO THAT. HOWEVER, IN ANALYZING THE LIST THAT WE HAVE AND SEEING MOST OF THEM HAVE 7 SOME KIND OF ACTIVITY GOING ON WHERE THEY JUST 8 NEED ENCOURAGEMENT, THE NUMBER THAT ARE JUST PLAIN 9 10 IGNORING THE LAW ARE VERY FEW. AND THOSE ARE CERTAINLY SOME THAT NEED SOME ADDITIONAL PRESSURE 11 12 ON THEM. 13 AND I WANTED TO ASK ABOUT THE ALAMEDA COUNTY SITUATION. THERE'S SOME OPPOSING 14 VIEW AS TO WHAT THE LAW REQUIRES BY ALAMEDA COUNTY 15 16 IN GENERAL. IS THAT WHAT CAUSES THIS UNION CITY 17 TO INDICATE THAT THEY HAVE SUBMITTED A SRRE TO THE 18 WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY IN ALAMEDA COUNTY? 19 MR. DILLON: I WILL DEFER TO MS. 20 FRIEDMAN. 21 MS. FRIEDMAN: I THINK I CAN EXPLAIN SOMETHING HERE. WHAT WE HAVE IS A SPLIT FROM 22 23 THE ORIGINAL STATUTE TO THE CURRENT STATUTE

ON THE WRONG TRACK. BUT ORIGINALLY AB 939

AND STAFF JUMP IN IF YOU THINK I'M GOING

24

25

- 1 REQUIRED THAT JURISDICTIONS PREPARE ALL THEIR
- 2 SRRE'S AND ALL THE OTHER ELEMENTS, FORWARD THEM TO
- 3 THE COUNTIES. THE COUNTIES WOULD PACKAGE THEM
- 4 INTO SOMETHING CALLED THE COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED
- 5 WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN AS ONE PACKAGE AND SUBMIT
- 6 THEM ON BEHALF OF ALL THE COUNTY'S JURISDICTIONS
- 7 TO THE BOARD. THERE WAS A CHANGE IN LAW IN 1992,
- 8 I BELIEVE, OR MAYBE IT WAS EVEN EARLIER, THAT
- 9 SEPARATED OUT THAT PROCESS.
- 10 AND ALTHOUGH WE HAVE DONE EXTENSIVE
- 11 PUBLICITY ON THAT CHANGE IN LAW AND EXTENSIVE
- 12 OUTREACH IN THAT EFFORT, SOME CITIES CONTINUE TO
- BE FOLLOWING THE OLD, ORIGINAL STATUTE IN THEIR
- 14 THINKING. AND THEY HAD PREPARED MATERIALS. AS
- THEY'VE STATED, THEY'VE SUBMITTED THEM TO THE
- 16 COUNTY. AND FROM THEIR PERSPECTIVE, THEY FEEL
- 17 THAT'S ALL THEY NEED TO DO.
- SO I BELIEVE THAT THIS IS A
- 19 SITUATION WITH UNION CITY. LORRAINE OR LLOYD,
- 20 PLEASE JUMP IN IF I DON'T HAVE THAT CHARACTERIZED
- 21 CORRECTLY. AND WE DO HAVE SOME OTHER JURISDIC-
- 22 TIONS IN THAT SAME MIND SET AS WELL.
- 23 MS. VON KEKERIX: THAT'S CORRECT. AND I
- 24 WOULD SAY THAT WE STARTED OFF BACK IN '96 COMING
- 25 TO YOU WITH THE STEPWISE APPROACH WITH ABOUT 85

- 1 JURISDICTIONS ON THE LIST FOR NOT HAVING THE
- 2 SRRE'S RECEIVED BY THE BOARD. AND I WOULD SAY
- 3 THAT A LARGE PROPORTION OF THE PEOPLE WITHIN THAT
- 4 GROUP WERE ALSO OF THE SAME OPINION.
- 5 UNION CITY HAS MADE A VERY STRONG
- 6 CASE WITH STAFF, AND THAT'S WHY IT'S REFLECTED
- 7 THAT WAY IN HERE. BUT THERE ARE, AS JUDY SAID,
- 8 SOME OTHER JURISDICTIONS WHO EITHER HELD THAT
- 9 POSITION AS RECENTLY AS LAST SPRING, OR THEY JUST
- 10 HAVEN'T STATED IT AS FORCEFULLY AS ALAMEDA -- OR
- 11 UNION CITY AND ALAMEDA COUNTY HAVE.
- 12 MEMBER FRAZEE: YOU KNOW, THERE'S QUITE A
- NUMBER OF THEM THAT YOU CAN ACCEPT WHY THEY MAY BE
- 14 IN DIFFICULTY. THE VERY SMALL RURAL JURISDICTIONS
- 15 AND THE ABILITY TO HAVE STAFF AND RESOURCES TO GET
- 16 THESE DONE, BUT THEN THERE'S SOME OTHERS THAT JUMP
- 17 OUT AT YOU. YOU'RE WONDERING WHY THEY'RE NOT
- 18 DOING IT.
- 19 IN THE CASE OF UNION CITY, I'VE HAD
- 20 SOME FRIENDSHIP AND CONTACTS WITH A NUMBER OF
- 21 ELECTED OFFICIALS IN THE CITY OF UNION CITY, EVEN
- 22 GOING BACK 25 YEARS AGO TO MY BUSINESS DAYS, WITH
- 23 THE FORMER MAYOR AND SERVING WITH A FORMER MAYOR
- 24 WHO WAS A COLLEAGUE IN THE LEGISLATURE AND THE
- 25 FACT THAT WE PUT PARTICULAR EMPHASIS ON THE 2136

- 1 PROGRAM THAT BENEFITED THAT JURISDICTION AND THEN
- 2 TO HAVE THEM TAKE THIS STANCE DOES CAUSE SOME
- 3 CONCERN.
- 4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, THEY'RE ALSO IN
- 5 A ZONE, I BELIEVE, RECYCLING ZONE.
- 6 MS. VON KEKERIX: THIS IS NOT NECESSARILY
- 7 THE POSITION OF THE MAYOR OR CITY COUNCIL. WE
- 8 DEAL WITH THE STAFF LEVEL PEOPLE. SO THIS IS A
- 9 REPORT FROM AN EMPLOYEE OF UNION CITY.
- 10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ALTHOUGH WE HAVE
- 11 SUBMITTED LETTERS TO THE ELECTED OFFICIALS.
- 12 HOPEFULLY THEY SEE THEIR LETTERS.
- MS. VAN KEKERIX: THEY GO TO ELECTED
- OFFICIALS AS WELL, BUT THE REPORT IN HERE IS FROM
- 15 THE STAFF LEVEL AT UNION CITY.
- 16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I'M GOING TO ASK -- I
- 17 DON'T HAVE A SPEAKER SLIP, BUT THE INDIVIDUAL FROM
- 18 TEHAMA COUNTY, IS THAT CORRECT, TO ADDRESS THE
- 19 COMMITTEE?
- 20 MR. COHN: ALTHOUGH THIS IS NOT AN ACTION
- 21 ITEM, I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT IT WAS.
- 22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: NO, BUT IT'S AN
- 23 OPPORTUNITY FOR YOU TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE

AND

- 24 LET US KNOW WHAT YOU THINK.
- MR. COHN: MY NAME IS ROBERT COHN

- 1 (PHONETIC). I'M THE TEHAMA COUNTY SOLID WASTE
- 2 MANAGER. I WAS HIRED JANUARY 3D. I DID SEND A
- 3 LETTER -- I DON'T KNOW IF, MR. CHESBRO, IF YOU
- 4 RECEIVED A COPY OF IT. YOU WERE COPIED ON THE
- 5 LETTER -- BASICALLY ASKING FOR TIME.
- 6 TEHAMA COUNTY HAS SPENT A TREMENDOUS
- 7 AMOUNT OF MONEY ON THEIR SRRE AND SOME OF THE
- 8 OTHER ELEMENTS WITH LITTLE TO SHOW FOR IT, I
- 9 THINK, AS FAR AS IN THE WAY OF SUBMITTALS TO THE
- 10 STATE. BUT TEHAMA COUNTY HAS A NEW PUBLIC WORKS
- 11 DIRECTOR WHO'S GOT TEN YEARS EXPERIENCE WITH SOLID
- 12 WASTE, AND I'VE BEEN IN THE BUSINESS ABOUT 20
- 13 YEARS, AND I FEEL VERY CONFIDENT THAT WITHIN THE
- 14 90 DAYS EXPLAINED IN MY LETTER, WE CAN HAVE THOSE
- 15 ELEMENTS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD. AND THAT WAS MY
- 16 PURPOSE IN COMING TODAY WAS TO ASK FOR TIME.
- 17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I CAN'T SPEAK TO THE
- 18 EXACT TIME FRAME OF 90 DAYS, BUT MY IMPRESSION IS
- 19 THAT WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF WHAT STAFF'S REPORTING
- 20 HERE, THAT A JURISDICTION WHO IS WILLING TO GET
- 21 INTO THE GROOVE WITH THE COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE
- 22 THAT'S WORKED OUT BETWEEN STAFF AND THE
- 23 JURISDICTION ISN'T GOING TO HAVE ANY BOOK THROWN
- 24 AT THEM. IS THAT A FAIR ASSESSMENT?
- MS. VAN KEKERIX: YES. THAT -- WE WOULD

- 1 CONTINUE WITH OUR NORMAL PRACTICE OF WHENEVER THE
- 2 DOCUMENT COMES IN TO WORK WITH CITIES, AND I KNOW
- 3 THAT WE HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH TEHAMA COUNTY ON
- 4 THEIR DOCUMENTS OVER THE PAST YEAR OR SO
- 5 EXTENSIVELY.
- 6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IS THERE A PROCESS
- 7 BUILT IN HERE, THOUGH, BY WHICH THEY CAN HAVE A
- 8 SPECIFIED AMOUNT OF TIME WITH CERTAIN STEPS AND
- 9 DEADLINES THAT THEY WOULD MEET TO GET THE DOCUMENT
- 10 IN?
- 11 MS. VAN KEKERIX: I BELIEVE THAT WE
- 12 INDICATE THAT TEHAMA COUNTY --
- MR. DILLON: WE'VE IDENTIFIED THAT THEIR
- 14 SRRE WOULD BE IN BY JULY. I UNDERSTOOD BY JUNE,
- 15 BUT WE'VE GIVEN THEM JUST A LITTLE EXTRA MONTH
- 16 BECAUSE HEIDI'S REALLY NICE TO THEM.
- 17 MR. COHN: I'VE BEEN WORKING AND TALKING
- 18 WITH HEIDI EVEN WHEN I WAS AT THE CITY OF REDDING
- 19 BEFORE, SO WE HAVE A PRETTY GOOD WORKING RELATION-
- 20 SHIP ANYWAY. I FEEL CONFIDENT WE CAN GET THE
- 21 ELEMENTS SUBMITTED.
- THEY HAVE A LOT OF INFORMATION IN
- THEM THAT'S USEFUL. IT'S JUST THE

# PRESENTATION,

24 IN MY OPINION, IS NOT SOMETHING I WOULD

ACCEPT IF

25 I WAS A STAFF MEMBER ON THE BOARD FOR THE SRRE.

- 1 I'M GOING TO BE ASKING THE JURISDICTIONS TO
- 2 WITHDRAW THAT SO THAT I CAN TRY TO FIX IT.
- 3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, I THINK WE'RE
- 4 GOING TO HAVE THE SPECIFICS BEFORE US IN APRIL.
- 5 MS. VAN KEKERIX: YES. WE WOULD COME
- 6 BACK WITH SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 7 MS. FRIEDMAN: IN ADDITION, JUST IN TERMS
- 8 OF THE GENERAL STEPS, REMEMBER, ONE OF THE STEPS
- 9 IS DEVISING COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES. SO THERE WOULD
- 10 BE AN OPPORTUNITY, IF THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD
- 11 DECIDED THEY WANTED TO AT THAT POINT WORK OUT A
- 12 SPECIFIC SCHEDULE, THEN THAT IS ONE OF THE STEPS
- 13 IN OUR STEPWISE APPROACH.
- 14 MS. VAN KEKERIX: THE PROPOSED COMPLIANCE
- 15 SCHEDULES ARE LISTED IN THIS UPDATED TABLE WHICH
- 16 YOU RECEIVED AS THE LAST COLUMN ON THE RIGHT SIDE
- 17 OF THE PAGE. THOSE ARE WHAT THE JURISDICTIONS,
- 18 AFTER WORKING WITH STAFF TO IDENTIFY WHAT IT WOULD
- 19 TAKE IN EACH PARTICULAR CASE TO GET THE DOCUMENTS
- 20 AVAILABLE, TO COME IN. THE PROPOSALS ARE OVER
- 21 HERE ON -- IN THAT RIGHT-HAND COLUMN.
- 22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY.
- 23 MR. COHN: THAT SCHEDULE IS FINE WITH ME.
- 24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 25 I'D ALSO LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION. ON

- 1 PAGE 53, THERE'S FOUR COMMUNITIES THAT ARE LISTED
- 2 AS DISAPPROVED, PREVIOUSLY DISAPPROVED. ARE THESE
- 3 CITIES LATE WITH THEIR RESUBMITTALS? AND IF THEY
- 4 ARE, WHAT'S THE PROCESS GOING TO BE FOR THE
- 5 DISAPPROVED SRRE'S AND MOVING ON THEM?
- 6 MS. VAN KEKERIX: THESE ARE JURISDICTIONS
- 7 THAT ARE LATE WITH THEIR RESUBMITTALS. SOME OF
- 8 THEM ARE A COUPLE OF YEARS LATE ON THEIR
- 9 RESUBMITTALS. WE INCLUDED THEM HERE AS JURIS-
- 10 DICTIONS THAT DON'T HAVE THE DOCUMENTS THEY NEED
- 11 TO FOR THE BOARD TO CONTINUE ON WITH THE PLAN
- 12 IMPLEMENTATION ENFORCEMENT ON 25 PERCENT AS
- 13 SOMETHING THAT THE BOARD WOULD NEED TO LOOK AT.
- 14 WE'RE BUSY WORKING ON WHAT KIND OF PROPOSAL WE
- 15 WOULD WANT TO COME FORWARD WITH IN TERMS OF A
- 16 RECOMMENDATION AS TO WHETHER THEY WOULD GET SOME
- 17 DIFFERENT KIND OF CONSIDERATION. AND IF YOU

## HAVE

- 18 DIRECTION FOR US, WE'D BE HAPPY TO HEAR THAT.
- 19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I'M NOT SURE IT

## SHOULD

20 BE DIFFERENT. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THEY DON'T

#### HAVE

21 AN APPROVED SRRE. THEY WERE GIVEN SPECIFIC

# DATES

22 AND THEY FAILED TO MEET THOSE. AND THEY

# PROBABLY

23	OUGHT	ТО	BE	IN	THE	GROOV	E V	HTIW	THE	ONES	THA	T
HAVE												
24	FAILEI	) TC	) SU	ВМІ	IT.	EVEN	THO	OUGH	THE	7 TOO	к тн	Œ
25	INITIA	AL S	STEP	S,	I ME	EAN I	DO	THIN	IK TI	IAT T	HEY'	VE
מעת												

- 1 SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TIME. I DON'T KNOW ABOUT
- 2 EACH ONE INDIVIDUALLY, BUT MY GENERAL IMPRESSION
- 3 IS THEY'VE HAD A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TIME THAT
- 4 WE GAVE THEM FOR RESUBMITTAL, AND THAT NEEDS TO BE
- 5 TAKEN SERIOUSLY. I DON'T KNOW HOW THE OTHER
- 6 COMMITTEE MEMBERS FEEL, BUT THAT'S MY POINT OF
- 7 VIEW.
- 8 MS. VAN KEKERIX: THEN, WE WILL CONTINUE
- 9 TO KEEP THEM IN THE MIX OF IDEAS THAT WE'RE
- 10 TALKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF RECOMMENDING ACTIONS ON
- 11 THOSE AS WELL.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO I THINK WHAT WE
- 13 NEED TO DO HERE IS -- I GUESS I SHOULD ASK BEFORE
- 14 I SAY THAT. ARE THERE ANY MORE COMMENTS OR
- 15 QUESTIONS? THE SENSE OF THE COMMITTEE IS TO
- 16 PROCEED WITH THE ITEM TO REPORT TO THE BOARD THIS
- 17 MONTH AND MOVE TO PREPARE AN AGENDA ITEM FOR THE
- 18 APRIL PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE WITH
- 19 ALL THE SPECIFICS THAT YOU PROPOSED, INCLUDING THE
- ONE THAT WE JUST DISCUSSED.
- 21 INCOMPLETES. OH, INCOMPLETES. I
- 22 ASSUME THE INCOMPLETES ARE GOING TO BE HANDLED THE
- 23 SAME WAY AS NOT RECEIVED. ALSO BE GIVEN --
- MS. VAN KEKERIX: AGAIN, BASED ON THE
- 25 BOARD DIRECTION AT THE 50-PERCENT INITIATIVE

- 1 AGENDA ITEM TO LOOK AT POSSIBLE ENFORCEMENT ON THE
- 2 PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND GETTING TO THE -- MAKING
- 3 SURE PEOPLE ARE AT THE 25-PERCENT GOAL, THE
- 4 INCOMPLETE, THE DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN RECEIVED
- 5 IN-HOUSE, BUT DUE TO OUR REGULATIONS AND
- 6 REQUIREMENTS, THEY DON'T HAVE ALL THE SUPPORTING
- 7 DOCUMENTATION. AND SO THEY HAVEN'T BEEN DEEMED
- 8 COMPLETE AND THE BOARD HAS NOT YET CONSIDERED
- 9 THOSE ITEMS AS WELL, AND SO THEY WERE INCLUDED IN
- 10 THAT AGENDA ITEM ALONG WITH THOSE THAT WE HAVEN'T
- 11 RECEIVED.
- 12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. SO I DON'T KNOW
- 13 IF WE NEED TO ADD ANYTHING MORE THAN WHAT THE
- 14 STAFF'S RECOMMENDED IN WHAT YOU'VE HEARD HERE.
- 15 ANYTHING ELSE? OKAY. I THINK THAT COMPLETES THE
- 16 ITEM.
- 17 AND NEXT TIME REMEMBER TO LIST IT
- 18 RIGHT ON THE AGENDA, OKAY, SO WE CAN TAKE A
- 19 MOTION. SO THAT COMPLETES THAT ITEM. THANK YOU
- 20 YOU ALL. AND I THINK THAT'S THE LAST REGULAR
- 21 AGENDA ITEM. OPEN DISCUSSION. ADJOURNMENT.
- 22 MS. FRIEDMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE ONE
- 23 THING THAT I NEGLECTED TO -- FORGOT TO MENTION IN
- 24 MY DEPUTY DIRECTOR'S REPORT, SO IF I COULD USE

THE

```
1
      THAT IS WE HAVE JUST ABOUT READY FOR DISTRIBUTION
 2
      A FEBRUARY EDITION OF OUR INFOCYCLING NEWSLETTER.
 3
      AS I SAID BEFORE, WE'RE INCREASING OUR EFFORTS TO
      PROVIDE INFORMATION, SHARE INFORMATION, GET THE
 4
 5
      WORD OUT, AND PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL
      GOVERNMENT. SO YOU WILL ALL BE RECEIVING COPIES
 6
 7
      OF THAT SHORTLY.
 8
               CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANK YOU.
 9
      THAT'S IT.
10
11
                     (THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT
12
      10:30 A.M.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```